

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,383	11/25/2003	Jae Kyum Kim	K-0566	4285
34610	7590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			HECKERT, JASON MARK	
P.O. BOX 221200 CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1746	
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/720,383	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Heckert	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/720,383 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1 3, 8 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the admitted state of the art (ASA) as disclosed by the applicant. The ASA (figures 1 and 2 and paragraphs 0003 0014) teaches an assembly of supplying detergent in a washing machine comprising a receiving part 12, a detergent box 14 with a plurality of detergent storing parts, a drawer panel 16 with grip 15, and location correction means on the side of the detergent box in the form of ridges that conform to a shaped opening of the receiving part thereby allowing the detergent box to slide in and out of the receiving part. A plate is clearly shown in the opening of the receiving part that has a shaped entrance for drawing the detergent box. A control panel 20 is provided to a side of the receiving part and the plate mentioned earlier is built into a recess in the body of the control panel. In paragraph 0010, an inlet pipe 6 is disclosed allowing water to be injected via shower holes into the detergent box.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/720,383

Art Unit: 1746

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4-7 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the ASA as applied to claims 1 and 2, and further in view of Liermann. The ASA does not include a location correction protrusion on, or in the vicinity of, the front plate of the receiving part. Liermann shows a protrusion 50 that is capable of serving as location correction means. Furthermore, this protrusion fits into the rear part of the drawer 30. Also, a separate protrusion is formed along a perimeter of protrusion 50 that fits into a pre-designed space in rear part 30. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the ASA and include a protrusion, as disclosed by Liermann, built into or in the vicinity of the front plate so as to provide a means to ensure that the detergent holder is properly located when placed into the receiving part.
- 5. In regards to claims 5 and 13, duplication of parts has been held to have been obvious. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Beemis Co. Inc.* 193 USPQ 8, 11 (1977); *In re Harza* 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1947). Claims 5 and 13 state nothing more than a duplication of the part addressed in the previous paragraph. It would have been obvious to include multiple protrusions to ensure that the detergent holder is properly located when placed into the receiving part.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,383

Art Unit: 1746

6. In regards to claim 7 and 15, plastics and injection molding are notoriously well known in the art. Furthermore, the ASA states that the receiving part and detergent supply are made of plastic-made material by injection molding (paragraph 0013). It would have been obvious to make the location correction protrusion, discussed above, of a plastic or resin-based material, as this is how the receiving part and plate are already made and would allow for efficient manufacture of said parts.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Heckert whose telephone number is (571) 272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,383 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**JMH** 

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER